
SOUTHAMPTON CITY COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON
16 NOVEMBER 2011

Present:

The Mayor, Councillor Matthews (Minutes 56, 57 and 60 only)
The Sheriff, Councillor Burke
Councillors Baillie (Minutes 52-55, 60 and 65 only), Ball, Barnes-Andrews,
Mrs Blatchford, Bogle (Minutes 52-55 and 65 only), Capozzoli, Claisse, Cunio,
Daunt, Fitzgerald, Fitzhenry, Fuller, Furnell, Hannides, B Harris, L Harris, Holmes,
Jones, Kaur, Kolker, Letts, Mead, Morrell, Moulton, Noon, Osmond, Dr Paffey,
Parnell, Payne, Pope, Rayment, Smith, Stevens, Thomas, Thorpe, Turner,
Vassiliou, Vinson, Walker, Wells, White, Willacy, P Williams and Dr R Williams

THE SHERIFF (COUNCILLOR BURKE) IN THE CHAIR

52. APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillors Drake and McEwing.

53. MINUTES

RESOLVED that the minutes of the Council meeting held on the 14th September 2011 be approved and signed as a correct record.

54. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE MAYOR AND LEADER

The Sheriff announced that David Priestley, Senior Town Sergeant would shortly be retiring and that Joy Wilmot-Palmer, Head of Efficiency and Business Transformation, would be leaving the Authority at the end of November. On behalf of Members, he thanked them for their service to the Council and wished them well for the future.

On behalf of Members, the Sheriff wished Councillor Drake a speedy recovery and congratulated Councillors Bogle and Thorpe on recently becoming parents.

55. DEPUTATIONS, PETITIONS AND PUBLIC QUESTIONS

It was noted that no requests for Deputations, Petitions or Public Questions had been received.

THE MAYOR (COUNCILLOR MATTHEWS) IN THE CHAIR

56. EXECUTIVE BUSINESS

The report of the Leader of the Council was submitted, setting out the details of the business undertaken by the Executive (copy of report circulated with agenda and appended to signed minutes).

The Leader and the Cabinet made statements and responded to Questions.

The following questions were then submitted in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 11.1:

1. Woolston Wastewater Treatment Works

Question from Councillor Vinson to Councillor Fitzhenry

In view of recent adverse publicity regarding the capacity of Woolston Wastewater Treatment Works to cope with current demand, and the additional pressures that will come from increased housing under the Centenary Quay development, can the Cabinet Member advise Council of any steps he has taken to facilitate resolution of these issues by Southern Water?

Answer

Southern Water has for some time been considering how to resolve the issues associated with discharge standards to the River Itchen set by the Environment Agency, also capacity and odour levels which will in particular impact on the Centenary Quay development.

There have been repeated interventions with Southern Water by the City Council along with SEEDA (now HCA) and Crest Nicholson and there is an emerging approach which is to upgrade (rebuild) the existing works to “state of the art” within the existing site. This will incorporate new technology to make the works virtually odour free, provide further capacity as well as meeting all discharge standards. The scheme will also incorporate attractive cladding and landscaping.

The alternative option of diverting to elsewhere in South Hampshire would be problematical in terms of delivery and is unlikely to be agreed by Ofwat, the water industry regulator, because of the considerable cost involved in comparison to upgrading the existing works.

Southern Water is currently seeking agreement with Ofwat on how the upgrade scheme is to be funded.

Southern Water intends to consult with the City Council and the local community on their proposals when they have firmed up on their proposals and programme. This is expected during next year.

2. Recycling in Southampton

Question from Councillor Vinson to Councillor Fitzhenry

Is the Cabinet member satisfied with the current level of recycling in Southampton?

Answer

Between April and the end of September 2011, 24.78% of domestic waste collected in Southampton was sent for recycling, composting or reuse which compares favourably with the other two areas of dense population in Hampshire, namely Portsmouth and Basingstoke. The Council only sent 12.32 % of its waste to landfill during the corresponding period; one of the lowest figures in the country.

3. Cycling on Pavements

Question from Councillor Vinson to Councillor Fitzhenry

Does the Cabinet Member accept that current strategies have proved inadequate to deal with an increase in cycling on Southampton's pavements which constitutes a danger to pedestrians?

Answer

The enforcement of inappropriate or nuisance cycling in pedestrian areas including footways is enforceable by the Police. They regularly undertake enforcement activity in the city.

The Council have no powers to undertake enforcement activity though we do provide cycle facilities where there is a high demand. Our influence is limited to the provision of infrastructure and awareness raising. On occasions we provide shared use or segregated routes on footways but this is only a last resort where we have considered the relative vulnerability of different road user groups and concluded that putting in cycle facilities in close proximity to pedestrians is the safest solution when considering all other factors. There is a complex legal process for designating such routes which means that they normally require public opinion to be strongly in favour before they are designated.

The Council also work closely with cycle user groups and the Police to raise awareness about nuisance cycling on pavements. We also have extensive cycle training programmes in schools and adult cycle training which all actively promote using the road for cycling.

4. 'Rogue' Landlords

Question from Councillor Vinson to Councillor Baillie

What steps has the Cabinet Member taken to address problems caused for tenants and neighbourhoods by 'rogue' landlords?

Answer

This Executive has reconfigured services to deal with the worst properties managed by the worst landlords and has a clear policy to tackle rogues, made explicit in the Private Housing Renewal Strategy 2011-2015 adopted on 13 July 2011.

Calls about poor housing conditions are now screened and prioritised. Wherever appropriate, landlords are contacted and given an opportunity to put things right and the best invariably do this. Less serious issues are dealt with by advising the

landlord and tenants. This frees resources to tackle properties with serious problems.

Officers inspect properties with serious hazards, those occupied by vulnerable people and those let by landlords with a poor track record of compliance, determined by analysing service requests received over the last year. Officers deal with a comprehensive list of hazards, including cold and falls.

Whenever officers find a particularly poor property, other properties owned by the same landlord are programmed in for an inspection.

There is a programme for finding unlicensed houses in multiple occupation (HMOs) and 72 licences were issued for high risk HMOs between April and September 2011, which improves standards and helps reduce community impacts.

The Council is currently prosecuting a landlord for a persistent failure to comply with an Improvement Notice and the hearing is scheduled for December.

5. Spitfire Memorial

Question from Councillor Noon to Councillor Hannides

As the Cabinet member involved in the Southampton Spitfire Memorial development, can he update Council as to how much of the money targeted to be raised by private sponsors has been obtained to date (by the end of the third quarter 2011)?

Answer

This is not a Council project and therefore, in my capacity as a Cabinet Member, I am not in a position to answer the question regarding the Spitfire.

6. Music Service

Question from Councillor Stevens to Councillor Moulton

Please can the Cabinet Member explain how the re-structured music service will work/operate in Southampton?

Answer

Currently the Authority is carrying out consultation procedures with Southampton Music Service in line with proposed Council budget reductions.

The Southampton Music Service will function as a self financing service receiving revenue from; schools, parents, National Music funding and other sources such as The Arts Council of England, Youth Music, charities and Regional Funded Organisations such as Arts Work. The structure, whilst currently being consulted on, will manage the two main strands of work: schools and musical enrichment activities such as; ensembles, orchestras and choirs.

7. Primary School Funding

Question from Councillor Stevens to Councillor Moulton

How will the extra funding (as announced recently from central Government) for primary school capital programme be allocated?

Answer

The recently announced Government funding of just over £3.1 million was allocated to Southampton City Council specifically on the basis of the need to provide additional places in primary schools in the City (and in recognition of the fact that this will require a significant amount of building work to provide the necessary space). Since the Council has already published its proposals for addressing this need (in the Cabinet Report of 14 March 2011 and subsequent decision) it is the Council's intention that this funding should be directed towards the fulfilment of the proposals contained therein.

The adoption of the above approach will enable the Council to more swiftly progress with the agreed schemes, on the basis of confirmed funding.

8. Academy Funding

Question from Councillor Stevens to Councillor Moulton

Based on a statement from an Ofsted report - "The inadequate academy is making satisfactory progress. Persistent school absence rates remain above the average for similar areas."

What are the Cabinet Member's plans to drive progress on both these issues considering the financial constraints of the coming years?

Answer

The Local Authority continues to drive up progress on standards and attendance through retaining:

- A school improvement/standards team to support all schools to improve leadership and management, teaching and learning attainment levels. This work is being supported through the development of 'Strategic Partnership Reviews' with all schools, including academies.
- A team of Education Welfare Officers to work with schools to deliver our statutory responsibility to instigate legal proceedings against parents regarding pupil absence.

9. Primary School Places

Question from Councillor Dr Paffey to Councillor Moulton

Will the Cabinet Member confirm how many children of primary school age still do not have a school place?

Answer

As of Monday 14 November:-

- there are 22 children of Primary school age (i.e., aged 4-11) without a school place.
- Of these:
 - 13 are from abroad
 - 9 are from out of city but within UK.
- All 22 children are on waiting lists for places at the parents' choice of school. The average wait for a place is 2.75 school weeks.

10. South Hampshire Deaf Children's Society

Question from Councillor Dr Paffey to Councillor Moulton

Following the deputation presented by South Hampshire Deaf Children's Society at last Full Council, will the Cabinet Member provide an update on his subsequent discussions with representatives of SHDCS?

Answer

Cllr Moulton and Dr Julia Katherine, Principal Educational Psychologist, met with representatives from SHDCS and the NDCS on 17th October 2011. The following were discussed:-

- Individual cases.
- Additional HI support that Southampton City Council (SCC) has accessed through partnership agreement with the Isle of Wight.
- The assessment of need for HI support.
- The review of HI provision being carried out by SCC.
- The need to improve communication with parents.
- Links between HI provision and Southampton's successful bid to become a pathfinder for the SEN green paper.

At this meeting the following key actions were agreed:

1. Parents to notify SCC of issues related to support for their deaf child. SCC to investigate any such issues raised.
2. SCC to keep the NDCS updated on the review of HI provision and the SEN pathfinder project, including formal consultation with parents.
3. SCC to send out a letter to parents detailing support arrangements for their child.
4. SCC to send out an evaluation form for parents to complete on the support they have received.
5. SHDCS and the NDCS to work with SCC press office to promote the positive story on HI provision in Southampton.

11. Sea City

Question from Councillor Barnes-Andrews to Councillor Hannides

How much has been raised by the private fundraising for Sea City?

Answer

The Cultural Development Trust had developed applications to a variety of Trusts and Foundations, some of which will be submitted week beginning 14th November, others shortly after.

These applications are currently confidential and therefore I am happy to offer a confidential briefing to Councillor Barnes-Andrews.

12. Budget Proposals

Question from Councillor Barnes-Andrews to Councillor Hannides

Did the Cabinet Member find the equality and safety impact assessment of value when reaching his budget proposals?

Answer

Yes.

13. Photoelectric Generation

Question from Councillor Letts to Councillor Fitzhenry

How has the recent announcement to halve the buy in tariff for photoelectric generation affected our plans in this area?

Answer

The City Council is committed to delivering its Solar PV Programme, regardless of the recent proposal by DECC to bring forward the date by which Feed in Tariff (FiT) rates are cut. SCC's programme was already at an advanced stage when DECC made its announcement and because of this the team was well placed to react. SCC's revised programme now includes a proportion of works which will be delivered before the proposed December review date with the remaining installations to follow no later than 31 March 2012. The advantages of this approach are that a proportion of the programme will be delivered at the higher FiT should the Government implement its proposal. However, in the event that the Government does not implement its proposal and reverts back to its original April review rate; then SCC will have delivered all of its programme at the higher rate. Finally, because SCC is investing its own capital in this scheme (rather than the alternative leasing or rental options that most public sector institutions pursue) it will still benefit from returns of between 7% and 15% on investment.

14. Green Waste

Question from Councillor Letts to Councillor Fitzhenry

When will the collection of Green Waste start again?

Answer

It is proposed to commence collections of green garden waste from Monday 21 November 2011.

15. Post-16 Education

Question from Councillor Dr Paffey to Councillor Moulton

Assuming that the activity survey for Southampton school leavers has now been completed; can the Cabinet Member now say what the current 2011 recruitment numbers are for post-16 education in Southampton per institution, and how many surplus places there are per institution?

Answer

The activity survey for Southampton school leavers reports on the destinations of year 11 leavers only and will give numbers entering post-16 education from each school, it does not report on local college enrolment numbers and available spaces.

The activity survey is not validated by the Department of Education until 28th November 2011. Information about the progression of 2011 Year 11 pupils to local colleges will be available during December.

The information requested will be shared with Members once it becomes available. At this point it will also become possible to share how these compare with the numbers of places funded in each of the City's Further Education Colleges and its other sixth form provision in schools.

16. Youth Unemployment

Question from Councillor Dr Paffey to Councillor Moulton

With youth unemployment reaching crisis levels nationally, will the Cabinet Member provide the latest figures for NEETs in Southampton?

Answer

The most recently published Department for Education NEET data (academic age 16-18) was for August 2011 and Southampton had a percentage of 7.3% compared with a national figure of 7.6%. At that time, Southampton's percentage was ranked 2nd best of our 11 statistical neighbours and best of the 9 'core city' comparators, so on this key measure we are currently bucking the unfortunate national trend.

The most recent data from the Department for Work and Pensions for September 2011 (published by the Office for National Statistics) shows that 3.9% (1705) of Southampton's 18-24 year olds are currently claiming Job Seekers Allowance, compared to 5.5% in the South East region and 8.1% in Great Britain.

17. National Planning Policy Framework

Question from Councillor Vinson to Councillor Fitzhenry

What representations has the Cabinet or Cabinet Member made to Government regarding their proposed National Planning Policy Framework?

Answer

The Council did make a submission to Communities and Local Government as part of the national consultation on the draft National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The full response is available on the Council's web site and has been the subject of briefings to all three political groups.

<http://www.southampton.gov.uk/council-partners/consult/nationalconsult/responsetonppf.aspx>

Our response welcomes the concise approach in the draft NPPF and the strong support for sustainable economic growth. The Council's response comments in detail in numerous areas.

These include:-

- the need to provide more clarity on the sustainable development principles that are referred to;
- support for the proposed 'duty to cooperate' with neighbouring authorities;
- a request to include commercial development in the town centre policy; and
- the need to protect some categories of employment land for the long term.

57. MOTIONS

(a) City Boundary Review

Councillor Letts moved and Councillor Stevens seconded:-

"This Council believes that as many Southampton residents as possible should be exclusively represented by Southampton MPs.

Therefore this Council supports the proposal brought forward by Democratic Audit that 15 of the 16 wards in the city boundary of Southampton be comprised of the two Southampton parliamentary seats (Test and Itchen).

Furthermore that this request be submitted back by the Council to the Boundary Commission as the Council's position on this matter prior to the close of submissions date in December."

UPON BEING PUT TO THE VOTE THE MOTION WAS DECLARED LOST

RESOLVED that the motion be **not** approved.

RECORDED VOTE

FOR: Councillors Barnes-Andrews, Mrs Blatchford, Burke, Cunio, Fuller, Furnell, Kaur, Letts, Morrell, Noon, Dr Paffey, Payne, Pope, Rayment, Stevens, Thomas, Thorpe, Turner, Vinson and Dr R.Williams

AGAINST: Councillors Ball, Claisse, Capozzoli, Daunt, Fitzgerald, Fitzhenry, Hannides, B. Harris, L.Harris, Holmes, Jones, Kolker, Matthews, Mead, Moulton, Osmond, Parnell, Smith, Vassiliou, Walker, Wells, Willacy, White, P. Williams

ABSTAIN: NIL

(b) City's Youth Parliament

Councillor Turner moved and Councillor Vinson seconded:-

“This Council regrets the loss of the City's Youth Parliament and calls on the Executive to bring forward speedily, proposals for the development of a new and accountable way of identifying the views and priorities of young people in Southampton”

Amendment moved by Councillor Vassiliou and seconded by Councillor Holmes:-

Delete from the first line “and calls on the Executive to bring forward speedily, proposals for the development of a new and accountable way of”

and Replace with “due to the substantial decline in participation during recent years. This Council fully supports and encourages the development of forums, organisations and school Councils in Southampton, dedicated to.....”

In the third line After “identifying the views and priorities of young people in Southampton.”

Insert the following: “Should there once again be a reasonable number of young people from across the City and participation from school Councils willing to attend meetings, this Council will embark on reinstating a City wide Youth Parliament. Furthermore, we fully support and encourage participation in school Councils as they can be an effective way of acquiring the views of young people”.

AMENDED MOTION TO READ:

This Council regrets the loss of the City's Youth Parliament due to the substantial decline in participation during recent years. This Council fully supports and encourages the development of forums, organisations and school Councils in Southampton, dedicated to identifying the views and priorities of young people in Southampton. Should there once again be a reasonable number of young people from across the City and participation from school Councils willing to attend meetings, this Council will embark on reinstating a City wide Youth Parliament. Furthermore, we fully support and encourage participation in school Councils as they can be an effective way of acquiring the views of young people.

UPON BEING PUT TO THE VOTE THE AMENDMENT WAS DECLARED CARRIED

UPON BEING PUT TO THE VOTE THE MOTION AS AMENDED WAS DECLARED CARRIED

RESOLVED that the motion as amended be approved.

THE SHERIFF (COUNCILLOR BURKE) IN THE CHAIR

58. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TO THE CHAIRS OF COMMITTEES OR THE MAYOR

It was noted that no questions to the Chairs of Committees or the Mayor had been received.

59. APPOINTMENTS TO COMMITTEES, SUB-COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES

It was noted that the following changes had been made to the appointments to Committees, Sub-Committees and other bodies:

Councillor Parnell had replaced Councillor Fitzgerald on the Employment and Appeals Panel; and
Councillor Mrs Blatchford had replaced Councillor Pope on the Employment and Appeals Panel

THE MAYOR (COUNCILLOR MATTHEWS) IN THE CHAIR

60. HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT SELF FINANCING: REFORM OF COUNCIL HOUSING FINANCE

The report of the Cabinet Member for Housing was submitted detailing a new funding system for the Housing Revenue Account, seeking approval for a number of schemes in 2012/13 and recommending an approach on a number of issues (copy of report circulated with agenda and appended to signed minutes).

RESOLVED:

(i) that the following principles be approved to underpin the development of the full 30 year Housing Revenue Account (HRA) business plan:

(a) For rents

- Rent increases will follow Government rent policy (rent restructuring) so as not to disadvantage the business plan.
- From 2 April 2012, all new tenants will be charged the full target rent for the property they move into
- From 2 April 2012, the target rent for houses be increased by 5% and the target rent for flats reduced by 2.9% so that there is no change in the average target rent for the HRA as a whole subject to a full financial assessment of the impact on tenants and the business plan being considered as part of the budget report in February 2012.

(b) For service charges, from 2 April 2012:

- All existing service charges will be recalculated to ensure that the charge is linked directly to the cost of the service provided.
- The charge will endeavour to meet the principle of full cost recovery, with any exceptions being agreed as part of the budget report in February 2012.

- Charges will then go up (or down) each year based on the actual cost of the service giving residents greater transparency and control over what they pay for a service.
 - That delegated authority be granted to the Senior Manager for Housing Services, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing, to approve the annual revision to service charges within the policy parameters agreed by Council.
- (c) For garages and parking spaces, from 2 April 2012:
- Garages and car park spaces charges will increase each year by RPI + ½% i.e. in line with target rents.
 - These charges will be reviewed every 2 years to ensure they are comparable with other landlords.
 - A reduced rent incentive of 50% for 6 months will be introduced on garages where there is a need to increase usage.
 - That delegated authority be granted to the Senior Manager for Housing Services, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing, to decide where to apply the rent incentive and also to approve the annual revision to charges for garages and parking spaces within the policy parameters agreed by Council.
 - Plans will be implemented to remove the lockable posts from parking spaces in Housing ownership in the City centre.
- (d) For the borrowing headroom:
- A proportion of the headroom will be retained as a reserve / contingency for any unforeseen or high risk / short term issues that need to be supported.
 - Some funding will be allocated on an “invest to save” basis so that there is a payback of capital over a period.
 - Some funding is allocated to “cash flow” estate regeneration initiatives where expenditure on relocating tenants and preparing for redevelopment takes place before the capital receipts are received from the sale of the sites.
- (e) For treasury management:
- The Council adopts the two loans pool approach for long term debt.
 - Existing long term debt (at 16 November 2011) is split between the HRA and General Fund (GF), with this split ensuring there is no adverse impact on the GF.
 - All long term loans raised after 16 November 2011 are allocated into either the HRA or GF pool.
 - That delegated authority be granted to the Chief Financial Officer “To increase the limits set in the annual treasury management strategy by the sum notified to the Council that it needs to pay to CLG under HRA reform and to take all decisions needed to borrow this sum before 26 March 2012”.
 - For the purposes of preparing the current business plan, the maximum average debt per property should be set by reference to the projected HRA

debt outstanding at 31 March 2012 and stock level used in the final debt settlement (currently estimated at £10,400). Average debt levels per property over the life of the business plan should not exceed this level.

- The full 30 year business plan should aim to make provision for the repayment of all HRA debt by the end of the plan.

(ii) that the capital programme for 2011/12 to 2015/16 as set out in Appendix 1 to the report be approved;

(iii) that it be noted that the implementation of the new system would require the HRA to borrow an estimated £70M in order to make the payment to CLG in March 2012.

THE SHERIFF (COUNCILLOR BURKE) IN THE CHAIR

61. REVIEW OF POLLING DISTRICTS

The report of the Director of Corporate Services was submitted detailing the review of polling districts and polling places carried out in accordance with the obligations under the Electoral Administration Act 2006 (copy of the report circulated with the agenda and appended to signed minutes).

Amendment moved by Councillor Mead and seconded by Councillor Fitzgerald:

That the recommendations in respect of the polling districts and polling places as set out in Appendix 3 be approved subject to the following:

Move boundary with MB to facilitate better access through local footpaths by transferring the boundary ME to take in the following roads: Vinery Gardens, St. James Park Road, Vinery Road, Winifred Gardens, Seacole Gardens, part of Dale Road, Rowan House, Winchester Road, from MB.

UPON BEING PUT TO THE VOTE THE AMENDMENT WAS DECLARED CARRIED

UPON BEING PUT TO THE VOTE THE RECOMMENDATION AS AMENDED WAS DECLARED CARRIED

RESOLVED that the recommendations in respect of the polling districts and polling places as set out in Appendix 3 be approved subject to the following:

Move boundary with MB to facilitate better access through local footpaths by transferring the boundary ME to take in the following roads: Vinery Gardens, St. James Park Road, Vinery Road, Winifred Gardens, Seacole Gardens, part of Dale Road, Rowan House, Winchester Road, from MB.

62. ESTABLISHMENT OF SHADOW HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD

The report of the Executive Director of Health and Adult Social Care and Director of Public Health was submitted seeking approval for the establishment of a shadow Health and Wellbeing Board (copy of report circulated with agenda and appended to the signed minutes).

Amendment moved by Councillor Rayment and seconded by Councillor Mrs Blatchford:

Add at end of recommendation (ii)
and that this appointment return to Full Council for endorsement

AMENDED RECOMMENDATION TO READ:

That the Executive Director of Health and Adult Social Care, after consultation with the Head of Legal, HR and Democratic Services, be delegated authority to appoint an independent chair in accordance with the process set out in Appendix 2 and that this appointment return to Full Council for endorsement.

UPON BEING PUT TO THE VOTE THE AMENDMENT WAS DECLARED CARRIED

UPON BEING PUT TO THE VOTE THE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED WERE DECLARED CARRIED

RESOLVED:

- (i) that a shadow health and wellbeing board be established on the basis of the draft terms of reference set out in Appendix 1 to the report;
- (ii) that the Executive Director of Health and Adult Social Care, after consultation with the Head of Legal, HR and Democratic Services, be delegated authority to appoint an independent chair in accordance with the process set out in Appendix 2 and that this appointment return to Full Council for endorsement;
- (iii) that authority be delegated to the Executive Director of Health and Adult Social Care, after consultation with the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Health, to make any amendments to the proposals in the report in the light of any changes made to the Health and Social Care Bill in its passage through Parliament.

63. TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND PRUDENTIAL LIMITS MID YEAR REVIEW

The report of the Head of Finance (Chief Financial Officer) was submitted detailing the Treasury Management Strategy and Prudential Limits Mid Year Review (copy of report circulated with agenda and appended to signed minutes).

RESOLVED:

- (i) that any changes to the Council's Prudential Indicators as detailed within the report be approved;
- (ii) that it be noted that at the time of writing the Treasury Management report, the recommendations in the Capital Programme Update report had not been approved by Council on the 14th September 2011. The indicators in the Treasury Management report had therefore been based on the assumption that the Capital Programme Update report would be approved and as there were no changes made by Council, no recalculation has been necessary;
- (iii) that delegated authority continue to be granted to the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Resources, Leisure and Culture, to approve any changes to the Prudential Indicators or borrowing limits that will aid good treasury management.

64. INTEGRATION OF WESSEX YOUTH OFFENDING TEAM OPERATIONS FOR SOUTHAMPTON WITHIN SOUTHAMPTON CITY COUNCIL FROM APRIL 2012, INCORPORATING THE ANNUAL YOUTH JUSTICES PLAN

The report of the Cabinet Member for Children's Services and Learning was submitted seeking approval for the integration of the service and approval for the annual Youth Justice plan (copy of report circulated with agenda and appended to signed minutes).

RESOLVED:

- (i) that the Wessex Youth Justice Plan 2011/12 be approved as part of the Policy Framework;
- (ii) that delegated authority be granted to the Executive Director of Children's Services and Learning to make any consequential amendments necessary to the Wessex Youth Justice Plan 2011/12 to reflect shadow or operational arrangements for the Southampton YOT prior to the approval of a Southampton Youth Justice Plan in 2012/13.

65. SOUTHAMPTON CITY COUNCIL'S CHANGE PROGRAMME

The report of the Leader of the Council and Chief Executive was submitted detailing recommendations in relation to Southampton City Council's Change Programme (copy of report circulated with the agenda and appended to signed minutes).

RESOLVED that the Change Programme as set out in the report, due to be considered by Cabinet on 21st November 2011, be noted

66. OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY - SUMMARY OF CALL-IN

It was noted that there had not been any use of the call-in procedure over the last six months.